

Reference

1. Understanding Barriers: York Region's First Annual Accessibility Plan (Consultation Draft). York Region Accessibility Advisory Committee, May, 2003.
2. Woodbine Corridor Urban Design Study. Brook McIlroy Inc., April, 2001.
3. Highway 7 Streetscape Study. Town of Markham, 1999.
4. Vision 2026. York Region. April, 2002.
5. Green Strategy Achievements, Regional Municipality of York.
6. York Region Centres and Corridor Study, The Planning Partnership, Royal LePage Advisors, csb inc. and Poulos + Chung. November, 2002.
7. Focus Ontario. Environics, 2003.
8. Highway 7 Corridor Transitway Environmental Assessment, Terms of Reference. iTrans Consulting Limited and Delcan Corporation, March, 2003.
9. Yonge Street Transitway Environmental Assessment, Terms of Reference. McCormick Rankin Corporation, December, 2002.
10. Yonge Street Transitway, Need and Justification. McCormick Rankin Corporation, July, 2002.
11. Regional Streets: Standards for Rights-of-Way and Boulevards. Regional Municipality of York, May, 1998.
12. York Region Transportation Master Plan, Overview Report. The Regional Municipality of York, 2002.
13. 10 year Capital Plan: 2002 – 2011, Regional Municipality of York.
14. 2003 – 10 Year Roads Construction Program. January, 2003.
15. York Region Speed Zones Map, Regional Municipality of York, March, 2003
16. Regional Streetscape Policy. The Regional Municipality of York, December, 2001.
17. Older Driver Highway Design Handbook. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, December, 1998.
18. Workshop on Freight Supportive Land Use Guidelines. Ontario Ministry of Transportation, March, 2003.
19. Regional Municipality of York Demographic Characteristics (2001 Transportation Tomorrow Survey)
20. A Vision for Highway 7 (Report No. 6 of the Planning and Economic Development Committee)
21. Yonge Street Vision – Richmond Hill Graphic Image (Report No. 6 of the Planning and Economic Development Committee)
22. Regional Official Plan, Office Consolidation. September, 2004.
23. Transportation Master Plan (Including 2031 Road and Transit Networks: Staging and Costs Technical Report and Current Throughput Capacity Projections for 7 Lane Regional Roads). The Regional Municipality of York, June, 2002.
24. Road Works Development Charge Credit – A Guideline for Applicants. The Regional Municipality of York, April, 2002.
25. Regional Road Streetscaping, Policy Development – Status Report. The Regional Municipality of York.
26. Regional Road Streetscaping Policy Update. The Regional Municipality of York.
27. YRT Non-Rider Survey. York Region Transit, June, 2003.
28. YRT Mobility Plus Service Customer Satisfaction Survey. York Region Transit, February 2003.
29. YRT Conventional Transit Customer Satisfaction Survey. York Region Transit, January, 2003.
30. York Region Vision Plan – Visual Preference Survey. A. Nelessen Associates, 1998.

31. Regional Road Speed Zone Map, The Regional Municipality of York, June, 2002.
32. Delivering the GTA Vision – Centres, Corridors and Transit. The Regional Municipality of York, June, 2002.
33. Town of Markham Official Plan, Office Consolidation. Town of Markham, January 1999.
34. Town of Richmond Hill Official Plan Consolidation. Town of Richmond Hill. December 1998.
35. City of Vaughan Official Plan Amendment 600 (Amending OPA 400), Office Consolidation. City of Vaughan, January 2003.
36. Centre Area Planning District (Markham Centre), July 1997.
37. Official Plan Amendment No. 155, Bayview Glen Secondary Plan, Town of Richmond Hill.
38. Amendment Number 500 to the Official Plan of the Vaughan Planning Area – Secondary Plan for the Vaughan Corporate Centre.
39. North Yonge Street – Richmond Hill Urban Design Study.
40. Access Guideline for Regional Roads. The Regional Municipality of York, March 2004.
41. Impacts of Access Management Techniques. NCHRP Report 420. National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1999.
42. Capacity and Operational Effects of Midblock Left-Turn Lanes. NCHRP Report 395. National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1997.
43. Parsonson, P.S., M.G. Waters, and J.S., Fincher. "Georgia Study Confirms the Continuing Safety Advantage of Raised Medians Over Two-Way Left-Turn Lanes." In Proceedings of the Fourth National Conference on Access Management, Portland, Oregon, 2000.
44. Eisele, W.L., W.E. Frawley, A.T. Griffin, and J.D. Miles. Estimating the Impacts of Access Management Techniques: Methodology and Preliminary Findings. Research Report Number 4221-1. Texas Transportation Institute, College Station, Texas, October 2002.
45. Parsonson, P.S., M.G. Waters, and J.S. Fincher. "Two-Way Left-Turn With a Raised Median: Atlanta's Memorial Drive." In Proceedings of the Third National Conference on Access Management, Fort Lauderdale, FL, 1998.
46. Zegeer, C.V., C.T. Esse, J.R. Stewart, H.H. Huang, and P. Lagerwey. "Safety Effects of Marked vs. Unmarked Crosswalks at Uncontrolled Locations: Results from 30 Cities." In CD Conference Proceedings of the 2nd Urban Street Symposium, Sponsored by the Transportation Research Board, Anaheim, California, July 2003
47. Access Management – Balancing Access and Mobility (Answers to Your Questions): Florida Department of Transportation (<http://www11.myflorida.com/planning/systems/sm/accman/pdfs/ampromo3.pdf>)
48. Eisele, W.L. and W.E. Frawley. A Methodology for Determining Economic Impacts of Raised Medians: Final Project Results. Research Report Number 3904-4. Texas Transportation Institute, College Station, Texas, October 2000.
49. A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C., 2001.
50. Bevan, T.A., R. Mason, and J.A. McKenzie. "Context Sensitive Design Challenges for Major Suburban Arterial Street Projects." In CD Conference Proceedings of the 2nd Urban Street Symposium, Sponsored by the Transportation Research Board, Anaheim, California, July 2003.

51. Access Management – Balancing Access and Mobility (Answers to Your Questions). Florida Department of Transportation (<http://www11.myflorida.com/planning/systems/sm/accman/pdfs/ampromo3.pdf>)
52. Pedestrian Facilities Guidebook: Incorporating Pedestrians Into Washington's Transportation System. Sponsored by Washington State DOT, Puget Sound Regional Council, Association of Washington Cities, and County Road Administration Board and prepared by OTAK, September 1997.
53. A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C., 2001.
54. Design and Safety of Pedestrian Facilities: A Recommended Practice of the Institute of Transportation Engineers, Prepared by the Traffic Engineering Council Committee TENC-5A-5, Chaired by Charles V. Zegeer, Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, D.C., March 1998.
55. Alternative Treatments for At-Grade Pedestrian Crossings: An Informational Report, Prepared by the Nazir Lalani & the ITE Pedestrian and Bicycle Task Force, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2001
56. An Analysis of Factors Contributing to "Walking Along Roadway" Crashes: Research Study and Guidelines for Sidewalks and Walkways, Report No. FHWA-RD-01-101, USDOT, Federal Highway Administration, February, 2002.
57. Guidelines for the Location and Design for Bus Stops. TCRP Report 19. Transit Cooperative Research Program, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1996.
58. Transit-Friendly Streets: Design and Traffic Management Strategies to Support Livable Communities. TCRP Report 33. Transit Cooperative Research Program, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1998.
59. Bus Rapid Transit Volume 1: Case Studies in Bus Rapid Transit. TCRP Report 90. Transit Cooperative Research Program, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 2003.
60. Levinson, Herbert S. "Bus Rapid Transit on City Streets How Does It Work". In CD Conference Proceedings of the 2nd Urban Street Symposium, Sponsored by the Transportation Research Board, Anaheim, California, July 2003.
61. Stockton, Wm. R., Daniels, G., Skowronek, D.A., and Fenno, D.W. "The ABC's of HOV – The Texas Experience". Texas Transportation Institute, September 1999.
62. Turnball, K. F. "HOV Facilities: Challenges and Opportunities" In Conference Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on HOV Systems, Seattle, Washington, October 2002.
63. HOV Systems Manual. NCHRP Report 414. National Cooperative Highway Research Program. Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1998.
64. Planning and Design Guidelines, Vaughan Pedestrian and Cycling Master Plan (Preliminary Draft). City of Vaughan (Marshall Macklin Monaghan Limited), 2003.
65. Regional Niagara Bikeways Master Plan. Region of Niagara (Marshall Macklin Monaghan Limited), 2003.
66. Translink's Bicycle Usage and Attitude Survey, 2000, (http://www.best.bc.ca/_etc/pdfs/Transportation%20Facts/cycling_fs.pdf)
67. Translink Trip Diary, 1999, (http://www.best.bc.ca/_etc/pdfs/Transportation%20Facts/cycling_fs.pdf)
68. Transportation Association of Canada, 1999. Geometric Design Guidelines for Canadian Roads.

69. National Survey on Active Transportation, 1998. Go For Green Ottawa.
70. City of Mississauga, 2003. Cycling Facts. (www.city.mississauga.on.ca/cycling)
71. New York City Bicycle Master Plan. New York City, 1997.
72. City of Toronto Official Plan. City of Toronto, 2002.
73. City of Toronto Cycling Master Plan – Final Report on Quantitative Research Results. Decima Research Inc., 1999.
74. "Collection of Cycling Concepts", Road Directorate, Denmark, 2000
75. Bikeway Traffic Control Guidelines for Canada. Transportation Association of Canada, 1998.
76. Geometric Design Standards for Ontario Highways. Ministry of Transportation of Ontario, 1994.
77. Recent Geometric Design Research for Improved Safety and Operations. NCHRP Synthesis Report 299. National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 2001. (www.trafficcalming.org)
78. Hauer, E. "Lane Width and Safety", Draft, March, 2000.
80. Regional Road Corridor Design Guidelines. Regional Municipality of Ottawa Carleton, July 2000.
81. Streetscape Manual. City of Toronto, 1995.
82. Landscape Guidelines for Regional Roads. Regional Municipality of Peel, December 1996.
83. Ness, Jacob R. "Development of Guidelines for the Aesthetic Surface Treatment of Safety-Shaped Median Barriers. August 2004. (<https://txspace.tamu.edu/bitstream/1969/1297/1/etd-tamu-2004B-CVEN-Ness-2.pdf>).
84. "Eastern Federal Lands Highway Division- Technology Development – Aesthetic Barrier Systems" (http://www.efl.fhwa.dot.gov/techdev/abs_index.htm).
85. "California Highway Barrier Aesthetics" Report (Edition 1a), June 2002. (<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LandArch/images/barrier-aesth1final.pdf>)
86. Flexibility in Highway Design. U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration. (www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/flex/ch04.htm)
87. Garrick, Norman W. "New Concepts for Context Based Design of Streets and Highways." In TRB 2005 Annual Meeting CD-ROM. January 2005.
88. "Synthesis of Safety Research Related to Speed and Speed Limits". Publication No. FHWA-RD-98-154. (www.tfhrc.gov/safety/speed/speed.htm)
89. Garber, Nicholas J. and Ehrhart Angela A. "The Effect of Speed, Flow and Geometric Characteristics on Crash Rates for Different Types of Virginia Highways". Virginia Transportation Research Council, January, 2000.
A Guide to Best Practices for Achieving Context Sensitive Solutions. NCHRP Report 480. National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 2002.
90. Technical Guidelines for Transit Facilities, Stops and Accessories. York Region Transit. January, 2005.
91. York Region Transit Drawings and Specifications. York Region Transit. November, 2005.